odney Addison was released in December 2005 afwrongful incarceration. Addison was 23-years-old when convicted of second

Rodney Addison Freed From Nine Years Of ter nine years of Wrongful Imprisonment

by Douglas Scott Arey

Lewis Jackson in northwest Baltimore. Addison was sentenced to 30 years imprisonment.

In 1994 Addison had pled guilty to a drug charge when he claimed he wasn't guilty. It was a mistake he vowed not to repeat again. His attitude was, "I'd rather be innocent and in there for 30 years than say I'm guilty." After his conviction Addison haunted the prison law library researching legal citations in an effort to find a way to overturn his conviction.

The Maryland Office of Public Defender Innocence Project adopted Addison's cause and discovered a major Brady violation: three witness statements contradicting the testimony of the state's sole witness, Frances Morgan, were obtained by Baltimore police during their initial investigation. Yet they had not been released to Addison prior to his trial. A Public Information Act request for all police documents in Addison's case led to disclosure of the exculpatory statements.

In October 2005 Baltimore City Circuit Court Judge Edward Hargadon ordered a new trial. He said the state's failure to disclose the three exculpatory witness statements "undermined the confidence of the entire verdict." Two months later, the Baltimore State's Attorney Office dismissed the murder charge, stating they did not have the evidence or witnesses to pursue another trial. The dismissal resulted in Addison's release.

Ironically, according to the trial transcript, Addison exclaimed during his trial, "I think I can prove the witness was lying on the stand yesterday when she said she looked out her window." He made that statement two days before he was convicted. The concealed witness statements proved Addison was telling the truth, and that members of the prosecution knew it at the time of his trial.

Suzanne Drouet, an assistant public defender associated with the Maryland Innocence Project, told Baltimore's paper The Sun, "This is a beautiful case for showing how an innocent person can wind up getting convicted. Every step of the process somebody didn't do their job, and the result is what people don't think can happen – a totally innocent person winds up getting convicted. It's everybody not doing their job. You have fault at every level." Drouet further said, "We showed that the state had not turned over certain information about three eyewitnesses that would have shown that this woman who testified ... was in fact lying. They should have turned those things over."

The Sun also reported that in degree murder in the October 1996 shooting of "a photo line-up from 1996 that included Addison, Ernest Green identified someone else as the shooter and testified that he saw the suspect flee on foot. Glenn Maxey had also given police a verbal description of a suspect that did not match that of Addison in 1996." Both men testified at Addison's postconviction hearing in 2005.

> Margaret T. Burns, a spokeswoman for the prosecutors' office agreed the "case pointed to poorly organized paperwork, the possibility that not all evidence from police was transferred to prosecutors and Addison's initial inadequate legal representation."

> The Sun reported Addison's dismay with prison, which he characterized as "living in a time warp, a vacuum. It was miserable ... and not a place anyone should have to live in." Addison coped as best he could, "completing a General Educational Development program, joining book clubs and doing a lot of drawing and writing on his own." Drouet said, "He was always persistent but patient. He never seemed to get frustrated or angry. But he never wavered from the fact that he was absolutely innocent and he was going to keep fighting this for as long as it took."

Several days after his release Addison said. "A lot of times I dreamed that I was home. and I woke up and I was there, in prison. Now, when I wake up, I'm not in a cell. I'm in a house, with people, family members. That's when I know it's real. I'm free."

Addison's case is the first time the Maryland Innocence Project has aided reversal of a conviction on grounds other than DNA evidence.

Maryland has a wrongful conviction compensation statute that requires a pardon by the governor. A hurdle for Addison to overcome in obtaining a pardon is that the State's Attorney Office is taking the position that Addison wasn't exonerated by the dismissal of the charges. They are claiming it only means there is no evidence he was involved in Jackson's murder – not that he is innocent. State's Attorney spokeswoman Burns also emphasized that dismissal of the charges didn't expunge the police record of Addison's "arrest for first-degree murder."

Sources: Prosecutors drop murder charges, *The Sun*, Baltimore, December 17, 2005; and Readjusting to freedom, *The Sun*, Baltimore, MD, December 24, 2005.

Boston Agrees To Pay \$3.2 Million To Neil Miller For **False Rape Conviction**

By JD Staff

In 1989 by a student at Boston's Emerson LCollege reported a screwdriver wielding man forced himself into her apartment after she answered the door. She said the man robbed and raped her.

Neil Miller was twenty-two when convicted in 1989 of raping and robbing the woman by a jury that depended on her identification of him as her attacker. There was no crime scene evidence or other witnesses tying him to the crime. He was sentenced to 45 years in prison. His daughter was three-years-old.

After ten years imprisonment Miller was successful in getting a judge to order DNA testing of a bed sheet and the victim's vaginal swabs. The tests excluded Miller. He was released in June 2000 and his conviction was vacated.

In 2003 Miller filed a federal civil rights lawsuit against the city of Boston, its police department, and several officers. He claimed the police led the victim to falsely identify him, and they ignored evidence clearing him of the crimes.

Miller alleged that after the attack, police had the victim look through 600 pictures of males. When she didn't pick any of the pictures they had her look through about a dozen photos that included a six-year-old picture of Miller. The woman indicated Miller's picture resembled her attacker. However, she couldn't identify him with certainty, so the police told her she should go with her first impression. As time went on she became more positive that the old picture of Miller was her attacker. The lawsuit alleged that the police's conduct in guiding the woman to select Miller amounted to "deliberate indifference" to performing their duty to find the woman's assailant.

On March 8, 2006, four days before Miller's lawsuit was scheduled to go to trial, a settlement for \$3,200,000 was announced. Although denying any responsibility for what happened to Miller, the city of Boston issued a press release explaining the settlement acknowledged "the terrible tragedy of an innocent man incarcerated in 1989 for a crime he did not commit."

Miller's settlement is the largest in a wrongful conviction case in Massachusetts history.

Miller continued on page 14