## **Clara Boggs Steps Down** From Justice: Denied

Justice: Denied's co-founder Clara Boggs has stepped down as the magazine's co-publisher and editor-in-chief. Clara also stepped down as president of The Justice Institute, which is Justice: Denied's parent company. Clara cited personal reasons for relinquishing her positions.

Hans Sherrer, who has been involved with the Justice: Denied since its founding, has assumed the responsibilities of being the magazine's publisher and The Justice Institute's president.

Justice: Denied's operation has been moved to Seattle, Washington from Coquille, Oregon. The new mailing address is: Justice Denied PO Box 68911 Seattle, WA 98168

Justice Denied Editorial

## Judge Mary Ann Ottinger Has **Earned Removal From Office**

censured King County District Court Judge Mary Ann Ottinger, "for, among other misconduct, engaging in a pattern or practice of violating criminal defendants' fundamental constitutional and due process rights." <sup>1</sup> Judge Ottinger's misconduct included failing to advise unrepresented defendants at arraignment issue of JD). The CJC commenced a new inves- do so throughout the many months that the are petty offenses compared to the pervasive that they had the right to court-appointed counsel, the right to remain silent, and the filing of the new charges that substantially dupliright not to incriminate him or herself. She cate those that were resolved by the stipulated Judge Ottinger's knowledge and intent is fur- now charged with continuing to engage in. also accepted guilty pleas without informing defendants of the elements of the crime that had to be proven by the prosecution beyond a reasonable doubt, the maximum available to comply with court rules and case law requirpenalty that could be imposed, and other legal consequences of a guilty plea.

The CJC noted "The nature, extent and frequency of the due process violations, in particular, have been significant. ... Because the consequences of conviction, of their right to practices implicate the Constitutional rights of the defendants involved, the nature of the violations cannot be overstated."<sup>2</sup> It further stated, pleas without informing unrepresented defen-"The extent to which Respondent's [Ottinger's] failure to properly advise defendants of their rights has had a substantial impact on the rights of the defendant's involved."<sup>3</sup> Her misconduct understanding of the proceedings."<sup>8</sup> was particularly grave, because "Protecting the rights of accused individuals is one of the highest duties of any judicial officer."

The censure was part of a stipulated agreement the CJC entered into with Judge Otsanctions, the most meaningful of which was, "Respondent agrees that she will parthe CJC, related to the proper administration alleged violations. of her court, including proper procedures for rights advisement..." <sup>5</sup> In giving Judge Ot- It needs to be kept in mind that every single ous judicial misconduct, the CJC took into sents that she will do so..." 6

## Michael and Becky Pardue's Message of Appreciation to **Clara Boggs**

rom my husband and I who found in Justice: Denied Everything changed with a simple acknowledgment Michael's freedom that was absolutely imperative to our necessary, but our profound thanks are. Thank you, ultimate success; we must acknowledge Clara Boggs.

We had been in the grips of the ongoing injustice known as Alabama v. Pardue for years when I received a note from justice cannot be measured. Your energy and work Clara Boggs. I was astounded to learn that we were not alone in our battle. We all know the devastating feeling of seemingly having the entire world and all its endless resources against you. We all have experienced the helplessness and fear in knowing you are right and just in your position, yet the "good guys" are your enemy. We all have felt the grip of terror in not knowing if your loved innocent would survive another day in a cage created to minimize and destroy the very soul of its captives. Then, there comes a ray of light, a sprinkle of hope in the deluge of fear. Our sprinkle came in the form of a note from Justice: Denied, from Clara.

a level of recognition and support in our struggle for from a stranger that we were not alone. Details aren't Clara, not for just saving us, but also for the thousands of lost and afraid souls you have touched and given hope. The immense value of your selfless drive for stand as a beacon toward which we all can strive.

> We acknowledge your long hours of work, your sleepless nights, your vision, your relentless drive, your tears and your successes. Thank you for these and the other endless efforts of which we are unaware.

With great gratitude, Michael Pardue, free after 28 years of wrongful imprisonment and wife, Becky May 2005

eyes of the CJC's members.

On June 14, 2005, the CJC filed a Statement of so. (See, Judge Charged With Continuing To Conceal Defendants' Rights, on page 11 of this tigation of Judge Ottinger that resulted in the agreement filed on June 18, 2004. The CJC's new complaint alleges that from August to November 2004, Judge Ottinger "repeatedly failed ing full advisement of rights to counsel for criminal defendants at arraignments. In multiples cases, Respondent failed to properly advise criminal defendants of their right to counsel, of the maximum available penalties and other potential remain silent." <sup>7</sup> The new complaint also alleges that Judge Ottinger continued to accept guilty dants of the their right to counsel, of the elements of the crimes to which they pled guilty, and she "consistently failed to determine the defendants"

The new complaint also alleges that Judge Ottinger committed serious misconduct related to systematically undermine whatever meager bail hearings, probation revocation proceedings and alleged offenses involving non-citizens.

tinger. That agreement imposed several The CJC has the goods on Judge Ottinger since the Statement of Charges documents the defendant's name, the case number, and ticipate in training, approved in advance by the date of more than a dozen representative federal judges of her ilk across the country.

and she successfully pulled the wool over the undoubtedly actually innocent, and the only CJC's mistaken belief that she signed last thing that stood in the path blocking their years stipulated agreement in good-faith. possible wrongful conviction was the very 'fundamental constitutional and due process Charges against Judge Ottinger in which it is rights" that Judge Ottinger steadfastly re-On June 18, 2004 the Washington State alleged that on July 7, 2004, *less than a month fused* to inform them that they had. We know Commission on Judicial Conduct (CJC) after the filing of the agreement in which she with a moral certainty that she *refused* to after the filing of the agreement in which she with a moral certainty that she *refused* to effectively agreed in writing to respect a inform defendants of their "fundamental "criminal defendants' fundamental constitu- constitutional and due process rights" and tional and due process rights," the CJC received that it wasn't due to inadvertence or ignoa complaint that she was continuing to fail to do rance, because after agreeing in writing to engage in "proper procedures for rights advisement," she continued to repeatedly not CJC's investigation in 2004 was conducted.

> ther established by her response to the CJC after it granted her the "insiders" courtesy of informally contacting her in 2002 after it received complaints about her lack of concern for the rights of defendants. Judge Ottinger "represented that she would correct her plea acceptance and rights advisement practices in the future to comply with CrRLJ 4.2 and Washington law."9 It was Judge Ottinger's failure to abide by what was her false representation to the CJC in 2002 that caused the initiation of the Judge Ottinger earned removal from office for official proceeding that resulted in the June 18, 2004, stipulated agreement.

Judge Ottinger is every prosecutors dream judge, because she effectively sweeps aside the "fundamental constitutional and due process rights" that are the only impediment to a defendants summary conviction. Her actions confidence people both in and out of her courtroom may have in the fairness of the criminal process. Each issue of Justice: Denied bears witness to the human carnage of lost dignity, life and property caused by the dismissive attitude of Judge Ottinger and other state and

Judge Ottinger is a habitual offender who has twice made fools of the CJC's members tinger such a mild punishment for such seri- person whose "fundamental constitutional by tricking them into not taking effective and due process rights" has either admittedly remedial action against her — and people the consideration the mitigating factor that or allegedly been disregarded by Judge Ot- who are innocent under the law have sufshe "... acknowledges her need to change or tinger over god knows how many years, was fered because of it. The complaint filed on to avoid responsibility for her actions that are modify the conduct in question and repre- at that time as legally innocent under the law June 14, 2005 details the considerable depth of what they were accused of as you and I. of Judge Ottinger's deception and her cava-

We now know Judge Ottinger didn't mean it An unknown number of those people were lier manner of 'thumbing her nose' at the

Since 1982 the CJC has made the decision in three cases that the appropriate remedy for a judge's egregious misconduct was removal from office.

Judge Ottinger's removal would be a foregone conclusion if she had committed the one-time offense of taking the bribe of a car to fix a case, or feloniously assaulted a defendant who appeared before her. Yet those constitutionally violative misconduct she admitted to in 2002 and 2004, and which she is

One does not have to resort to hyperbole to describe the seriousness of Judge Ottinger's deliberate and egregious misconduct over a period of years that has obliterated "the integrity ... of the judiciary," and demonstrated her contempt for being "faithful to the law." A judge engaging in that quality of misconduct has earned removal from office.

her censured conduct last year. She likely has powerful friends in high places, and that may be why the CJC has thus far chosen to ignore the gravity of her misconduct by agreeing to gently admonish her with "tsk-tsk" taps on her wrist. However the charges against Judge Ottinger transcend political back-scratching because they concern the quality of the type of society we live in - and aspire to live in.

A CJC spokesperson anticipates the public hearing concerning the complaint of June 14, 2005, will be scheduled for the fall of 2005. The hearings outcome will determine whether the CJC will be given the opportunity to rectify their error of last year by deciding that Judge Ottinger has earned removal from office. That is unless Judge Ottinger takes the cowards way out by resigning before-hand with full pension benefits, or the CJC once again shirks its oversight obligation to protect the public from judges like Ottinger, by making another sweet-heart deal that allows her destructive to the social fabric of our society.

Hans Sherrer

(Endnotes on page 6) ISSUE 28 - SPRING 2005